1	CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS Raymond Ballister, Jr., Esq., SBN 111282	
2	Mark Potter, Esq., ŚBŃ 166317 Phyl Grace, Esq., SBN 171771	
3	Dennis Price, SBN 279082	
4	Mail: PO Box 262490 San Diego, CA 92196-2490	
5	<u>Delivery</u> : 9845 Erma Road, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92131	
6	(858) 375-7385; (888) 422-5191 fax phylg@potterhandy.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	J	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	Raul Uriarte,	Case No.
13	,	
14	Plaintiff,	Complaint For Damages And Injunctive Relief For Violations Of: American's With Disabilities Act; Unruh Civil Rights Act; California Disabled Persons Act;
15	V.	Of: American's With Disabilities Act: Unruh Civil Rights Act:
16	Valerie I. Castro; and Does 1-10,	California Disabled Persons Act; Negligence
17	Defendants.	
18 19	Plaintiff Raul Uriarte complains of Defendants Valerie I. Castro; and	
	Does 1-10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:	
20	2000 I IO ( 2010 Mainto ) and amogeo	
21	PARTIES:	
22	1. Plaintiff is a California resident with physical disabilities. He is a	
23	paraplegic who uses a wheelchair for mobility.	
24	2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incidents, the real property	
25	owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees for Taqueria El Primo	
26		
27	restaurant ("Restaurant") located at or about 9696 Fontana Avenue, Fontana,	
28	California.	

3. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants, their business capacities, their ownership connection to the property and business, or their relative responsibilities in causing the access violations herein complained of, and alleges a joint venture and common enterprise by all such Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants herein, including Does 1 through 10, inclusive, is responsible in some capacity for the events herein alleged, or is a necessary party for obtaining appropriate relief. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend when the true names, capacities, connections, and responsibilities of the Defendants and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are ascertained.

## **JURISDICTION & VENUE:**

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343(a)(3) & (a)(4) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.
- 5. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, an attendant and related cause of action, arising from the same nucleus of operative facts and arising out of the same transactions, is also brought under California's Unruh Civil Rights Act, and the California Disabled Persons Act, which acts expressly incorporate the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 6. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and is founded on the fact that the real property which is the subject of this action is located in this district and that Plaintiff's cause of action arose in this district.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:**

- 7. The Plaintiff went to the Restaurant in February of 2015 to eat.
- 8. The Restaurant is a facility open to the public, a place of public accommodation, and a business establishment.

- 9. Parking is one of the facilities, privileges and advantages offered by defendants to their customers at the Restaurant.
- 10. Unfortunately, although parking is one of the facilities specifically reserved for patrons of the Restaurant, there is not a single compliant parking space reserved for persons with disabilities available. Instead, the Restaurant places tables on the location where the old parking space reserved for use by persons with disabilities used to be. The placement of the tables has now made it so that there are no parking spaces for use by persons with disabilities.
- 11. Defendants have no policy or procedure in place to make sure that the accessible parking spaces remain useable in the parking lot. As such, the parking space reserved for persons with disabilities is no longer available.
- 12. Additionally, the transaction counter is 46 inches in height. There is no lowered, 36 inch portion of counter for use by persons in wheelchairs. The pick-up counter, meanwhile, is 42 inches in height.
- 13. Restrooms are one of the facilities, privileges and advantages offered by defendants to their customers at the Restaurant.
- 14. The restroom doorway clear passage width was 29 inches and inaccessible to wheelchair users.
- 15. The restroom provides a toilet. However, instead of providing two grab bars on adjacent or parallel walls for use by persons with disabilities who need to transfer to the toilet, there are no grab bars.
- 16. The plaintiff personally encountered these problems. This inaccessible condition denied the plaintiff full and equal access and caused him difficulty and frustration.
- 17. Plaintiff would like to return and patronize the Restaurant but will be deterred from visiting until the defendants cure the violation.
  - 18. The defendants have failed to maintain in working and useable

conditions those features required to provide ready access to persons with disabilities.

19. Given the obvious and blatant violations, the plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are other violations and barriers on the site that relate to his disability. Plaintiff will amend the complaint, to provide proper notice regarding the scope of this lawsuit, once he conducts a site inspection. However, please be on notice that the plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. See *Doran v. 7-11*, 506 F.3d 1191 (9th Cir. 2007) (holding that once a plaintiff encounters one barrier at a site, he can sue to have all barriers that relate to his disability removed regardless of whether he personally encountered them).

20. Additionally, on information and belief, the plaintiff alleges that the failure to remove these barriers was intentional because: (1) these particular barriers are intuitive and obvious; (2) the defendants exercised control and dominion over the conditions at this location and, therefore, the lack of accessible facilities was not an "accident" because had the defendants intended any other configuration, they had the means and ability to make the change.

## I. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 (On behalf of plaintiffs and against all defendants (42 U.S.C. section 12101, et seq.)

- 21. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 22. Under the ADA, it is an act of discrimination to fail to ensure that the privileges, advantages, accommodations, facilities, goods and services of any place of public accommodation is offered on a full and equal basis by anyone

who owns, leases, or operates a place of public accommodation. See 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Discrimination is defined, inter alia, as follows:

5

- a. A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the accommodation would work a fundamental alteration of those services and facilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- b. A failure to remove architectural barriers where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). Barriers are defined by reference to the ADAAG, found at 28 C.F.R., Part 36, Appendix "D."
- c. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).
- 23. Any business that provides parking spaces must provide handicap parking spaces. 1991 Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 Standards § 208. One in every eight of those handicap parking spaces but not less than one must be a "van" accessible parking space, *i.e.*, having an eight foot access aisle. 1991 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). Under the 2010 Standards, one in every six accessible parking spaces must be van accessible. 2010 Standards § 208.2.4.
- 24. Here, the lack of any accessible, compliant parking is a violation of the law.

3 4

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

24

23

25

26

27

28

are provided for sales or distribution of goods or services to the public, at least one of each type shall have a portion of the counter which is at least 36 inches in length with a maximum height of 36 inches above the floor. 1991 Standards § 7.2(1); 2010 Standards § 904.

25. In areas used for transactions where counters have cash registers and

- 26. Here, no accessible transaction counter has been provided.
- 27. All doors to restrooms must have a minimum clear opening of 32 inches with the door open 90 degrees, measured between the face of the door and the opposite stop. 1991 Standards § 4.22.2; 4.13.5; 2010 Standards § 404.2.3.
- 28. Here, the failure to provide the 32 inch wide restroom doorway opening is a violation of the ADA.
- 29. For a toilet to be considered accessible under the ADA, there must be two grab bars on walls adjacent to the toilet to assist persons with disabilities to transfer to the toilet. 1991 Standards § 4.16.4; 2010 Standards § 604.5.
  - 30. Here, the failure to provide compliant grab bars is a violation.
- 31. A public accommodation must maintain in operable working condition those features of its facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities. 28 C.F.R. § 36.211(a).
- 32. Here, the failure to ensure that the accessible facilities were available and ready to be used by the plaintiff is a violation of the law.
- 33. Given its location and options, the Restaurant is a business that the plaintiff will continue to desire to patronize but he has been and will continue to be discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities and, therefore, seeks injunctive relief to remove the barriers.

1 II. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL 2 RIGHTS ACT (On behalf of plaintiffs and against all defendants) (Cal Civ § 3 51-53) 4 34. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this 5 complaint. 6 7 35. Because the defendants violated the plaintiff's rights under the ADA, they also violated the Unruh Civil Rights Act and are liable for damages. (Civ. 8 Code § 51(f), 52(a).) 9 10 36. Because the violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act resulted in 11 difficulty, discomfort or embarrassment for the plaintiffs, the defendants are also each responsible for statutory damages, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code § 12 55.56(a)-(c).) 13 14 15 III. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA 16 DISABLED PERSONS ACT (On behalf of plaintiffs and against all defendants) (Cal Civ. § 54-54.8) 17 18 37. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth 19 again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint. 20 38. Because the defendants violated the plaintiffs' rights under the ADA, 21 22 they also violated the Disabled Persons Act and are liable for damages. (Civ. 23 Code § 54.1(d), 54.3(a).) 39. Because the violation of the Disabled Persons Act resulted in difficulty, 24 25 discomfort or embarrassment for the plaintiffs, the defendants are also each 26 responsible for statutory damages, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code § 55.56(a)-(c).) 27 28

**IV. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE** (On behalf of plaintiff and against all defendants)

- 40. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 41. The Defendants had a general duty and a duty arising under the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe, convenient, and accessible facilities to the plaintiffs. Their breach of this duty, as alleged in the preceding paragraphs, has caused injury and damage as alleged above.

## PRAYER:

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that this court award damages and provide relief as follows:

- 1. For injunctive relief, compelling defendants to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act. Note: the Plaintiffs are not invoking section 55 of the California Civil Code and is not seeking injunctive relief under the Disabled Persons Act at all.
- 2. Damages under the Unruh Civil Rights Act and/or the California Disabled Persons Act which damages provide for actual damages and a statutory minimum of \$4,000. Note: a plaintiff cannot recover under both acts, simultaneously, and an election will be made prior to or at trial.

3. Reasonable attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; Cal. Civ. Code §§ 52 and 54.3. Dated: February 24, 2015 CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS By: Mark Potter, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff